UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,877	01/16/2004	John Boyland	0403-4107	8433
27123 7590 06/29/2007 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FIN	NANCIAL CENTER		SAETHER, FLEMMING	
NEW YORK,	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/759,877	BOYLAND ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Flemming Saether	3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 April 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4,7,9,12,14,15,21,22,25-28 and 30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4,7,9,12,14,15,21,22,25-28 and 30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmeni	(s)					
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da' 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3677

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 9, 14, 15, 22 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 13, "a fastening aperture" should be --the fastening aperture-- and in the last line, there is no antecedent basis for "the side plane". In at least claims 9, 14, 15, 22 and 25, the claims should be made consistent with the independent claims inclusion of "motor" is reference to the assembly and housing parts. All the claims should be reviewed for other such inconsistencies. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 12, 14, 15, 21, 22, 25-28 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dochterman (US 2,722,618) in view of Rapata (US 3,110,338). Dochterman discloses a motor housing assembly comprising a motor housing (2), a motor housing cover assembly (7) and a plurality of fasteners (11) for securing the cover to the housing. Dochterman does not disclose the shape of the fasteners as claimed. In the embodiment of Figs. 8-10, Rapata discloses a Z-shaped fastener (see Fig. 8) comprising a base extension tab (28b) with a complementary contour (38b) to an inner surface, an extension block (22b) with a threaded aperture

Art Unit: 3677

(52b) and a complementary contour (24b) to an outer surface and, a fastening aperture engaging portion (not labeled) contacting a side plane of a fastening aperture (30b). The complementary contours applying a structural support. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the fasteners of Dochterman with ones as disclosed in Rapata because the fasteners of Rapata are superior in that they are self retained with the aperture such that they will

not become separated and perhaps lost from the aperture which would facilitate the

assembly of the motor housing. The location of the fastener on the inside or outside

would have been an obvious reversal of parts.

Conclusion

Applicant's remarks have been considered but, are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,877 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Flemming Saether
Primary Examiner